

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

C. P. NO. 92(ND)2016
CA. NO.

PRESENT: B. S. V. PRAKASH KUMAR
HON'BLE MEMBER (J)

**ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF
THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON 16.06.2016 AT 02.00 P.M**

**NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/s. Satish Pawa & Ors. V/s. M/s. Index Securities and Research Pvt.
Ltd. & Ors.**

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 241/242

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-----------------------	------------------

- | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Sh. Vinender Ganda, Sr. Adv. | | | |
| 2. | Mr. K. Lal, Adv. | | | |
| 3. | Vipul Ganda, Adv. | | | |
| 4. | Tarun Mehta, Adv. | | | |
| 6. | Shelly Khanna, Adv. | | | |
| 7. | Parmod Sachdeva, Adv. | | | |
| 8. | Yuvraj Khanna, Adv. | | | |

For the
Petitioner

Tarun Mehta

- | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|-----|--|--|
| 1. | Mr. Jayant Mehta, Adv. | | | |
| 2. | Mr. Karan Malhotra | " " | | |
| 3. | Ms. Manisha Chaudhary | " " | | |
| 4. | Mr. Himanshu Vij | " " | | |
| 5. | Mr. Mansur Singh | " " | | |
| 6. | Mr. Nagesh Saini | " " | | |
| 7. | Mr. Adit Singh | " " | | |

For Respondents

Karan Malhotra

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI BENCH
CP 92(ND) 2016**

Present: B.S.V. Prakash Kumar, Member (Judicial)

In the matter of:

Companies Act, 2013 Sections 241 to 244 r.w Section 216

And

In the matter of:

Sh. Satish Pawa and Ors.

.....Petitioners

v.

M/s. Index Securities and Research Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

.....Respondents

Present:

The counsel for the Petitioner: Shri Virender Ganda, Senior Advocate, Mr.K.Lal, Mr. Vipul Ganda, Mr. Tarun Mehta, Ms.Shelly Khanna, Mr. Pramod Sachdeva and Mr. Yuvraj Khanna, Advocates.

The counsel for the Respondents: Mr. Jayant Mehta, Mr. Manish Chaudhary, Mr. Karan Malhotra, Mr. Mansumya Singh, Mr. Himanshu Vij, Mr. Nahush Jain and Mr. Adit Singh, Advocates.

**Order
(Heard and Pronounced on 16.06.2016)**

The petitioner filed this CP stating that since the management of M/s. Divine Infracon Pvt. Ltd. is managing the affairs of R1Company as well, the petitioner has an apprehension that they would change the shareholding of R1 Company as well and reduce their shareholding.

2. But by going through this petition, it appears that no material is present on record showing that the respondents are likely to change the shareholding pattern of the company.

3. However, if the respondents propose to make any such change in the shareholding pattern of the company in violation of Article 7 of Article of Association, the petitioner is at liberty to approach this Bench.
4. Respondent side is directed to file reply within four weeks hereof, rejoinder, if any, within four weeks thereof.

List the matter on 08.09.2016 at 2.00 p.m.

Sd/-

(B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR)

Member (Judicial)

Signed on 20.06.2016

New Delhi